

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,361	03/22/2004	Helen A. Cunningham	SUNMP242	3944
32291	7590 10/17/2005		EXAM	INER
MARTINE P	ENILLA & GENCARE	NCARELLA, LLP WALLING, MEAGAN S		
710 LAKEWA	AY DRIVE			
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			2863	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/807,361	CUNNINGHAM, HELEN A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Meagan S. Walling	2863			
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from the second of the specification of the second of th	OF THIS COMMUNICATION vailable under the provisions of 37 CFF the mailing date of this communication and above is less than thirty (30) days, a diffed above, the maximum statutory performed the period for reply will, by stifice later than three months after the maximum.	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep reply within the statutory minimum of thirty	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on <u>2</u>	<u>1 July 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FI	NAL. 2b)⊠ 7	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this applic	s application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accord	ance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is	are pending in the applicat	tion.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	claim(s) is/are with					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and</u>	<u>1 17-25</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u>	Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> i	Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers			'			
9) The specification	is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
		ire: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not	request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drav	ving sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or decl	aration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Son	ne * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
•	copies of the priority docum					
	, , ,	ents have been received in Ap				
·	•	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage			
	n from the International Bu		a a a is sa al			
" See the attached	detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceivea.			
Attachmont/o						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite	d (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)			
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's P	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Sta	atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	/08) 5) 🔛 Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1 and 4-12 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lander et al. (US 5,974,862).

Regarding claim 1, Lander et al. teaches transmitting an identifiable acoustic signal by a transmitter device (44) defined on a source, the source being placed within an acoustic mentoring area (column 5, lines 30-33); receiving the acoustic signal from the transmitting device defined on the source by at least two sensors (Ref. 34 and column 5, lines 30-33); processing a received acoustic signal, the processing using data from at least two sensors (column 3, lines 21-24); identifying an approximate localized point in the acoustic monitoring area, the approximate localized point defining a physical location of the source (column 3, lines 33-34); and reporting the physical location of the source over a network (column 3, lines 42-46).

Regarding claim 4, Lander et al. teaches that each sensor of the at least two sensors is a microphone (column 5, line 22).

Regarding claim 5, Lander et al. teaches that the operation of processing the received acoustic signal is an arrival-time correlation process, distributed sensor/time of flight process, or an echolocation process (column 3, line 24).

Regarding claim 6, Lander et al. teaches that the approximate locale of the source is determined by an acoustic signal processor (column 5, lines 28-33).

Regarding claim 7, Lander et al. teaches that the physical location of the source is reported out-of-band (column 8, lines 42-48).

Regarding claim 8, Lander et al. teaches that the physical location of the source is reported using wireless technology (column 6, lines 2-3).

Regarding claim 9, Lander et al. teaches an acoustic environment configured to include the source (column 1, lines 6-10); a transmitter device for transmitting streams of acoustic signals, the transmitter device being defined on the source (Ref. 44); at least a pair of compact sensors for detecting and capturing the streams of acoustic signals transmitted by the transmitter device (Ref. 34); and a signal processor for receiving and processing captured streams of acoustic signals so as to ascertain the physical location of the source (Ref. 210).

Regarding claim 10, Lander et al. teaches that the physical location is ascertained using an arrival-time correlation process (column 3, lines 23-24).

Regarding claim 11, Lander et al. teaches a computer console for processing and displaying a location of the source in the acoustic environment (Ref. 210 and 220).

Regarding claim 12, Lander et al. teaches that the pair of compact sensors is a pair of microphones (column 5, line 22).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,361

Art Unit: 2863

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

2. Claims 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if rewritten to overcome claim informalities discussed above.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for the indication of allowability of claim 13 is the inclusion of the limitation that the acoustic environment is a data center. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art that makes these claims allowable.

The primary reason for the indication of allowability of claim 3 is the inclusion of the limitation that the acoustic monitoring area is a data center. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art that makes these claims allowable.

3. Claims 2, 3, and 17-25 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Please see previous office action and applicant's response for reasons for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the digital transceiver 44 disclosed in Lander does not transmit acoustic signals. Although the signal transmitted by the digital transceiver 44 has been digitized and possibly adjusted (see column 5, lines 60-62), the signal is still the signal received from the acoustic sensors 34. Therefore, although the signal has been adjusted, the signal has still been received from the acoustic sensors 34 and transmitted to the base station 26 via digital transceiver 44.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2863

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S. Walling whose telephone number is (571) 272-2283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msw

John Barlow /
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800